

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** The meeting was called to order at 6:10 pm.
Present: D. Miles (Chair), C. Gibson, M. Hemmingsen, B. Kendall, A. Kitching,
K. McKitrick, A. Peacock, N. Steinman, A. Taylor, A. Yang
Ex-officio: S. Meshwork, S. Witter
Administration:

The College was able to stay the course for two weeks until the Ministry announced that degree granting at the Colleges would remain the same as before. The reason the College went down the road it did was because of the directive of the Ministry. The recommendation to restore the primary focus of community colleges by precluding colleges from granting degrees would have had a huge impact to the College and would be discouraging for more than the people at the table. This does not even get to the situation students would be placed in. What was being heard in the media and what was being received in other communications was not consistent. Susan Witter made a significant effort

(Consortium) Degree was received at the May meeting. All four (Langara, Capilano, Vancouver Community College and Douglas) Education Councils will have to approve this degree program.

.2 Board Member Liaison Report: Ann Kitching had not been able to attend the meeting.

7.3 President:

.1 President's Report: A written report was included in the package.

.2 Campus 2020: Susan Witter reported on the May 15th meeting in Victoria. It was the first time the Minister of Advanced Education called together the stakeholders on Campus 2020. Approximately 105 people attended including representatives from the Universities and Colleges, the Attorney General's office, Economic Development, Social Services and Housing, and about 10 private institutions.

The meeting was held to try and get a sense of whether there was any kind of agreement on high level system-wide recommendations. There were positive comments on the emphasis on accessibility and access, good data collection and transfer credits. There were not as positive comments on the Higher Education Presidents Council (HEPC), the Higher Education Board (HEB) and the Regional Learning Councils which people thought were going to be too large a bureaucracy.

There was strong feedback on The Pacific Centre of Excellence in Learning Innovation. Funding for the Centre would be one per cent of the total operating grant provided to post-secondary institutions, and most of that funding would go into the university sector. There was not much on the programming we do around the labour market. The Ministry will take that feedback and try to make some sense of what the priorities will be and what kind of resources will be needed. Over the summer and early fall they will come back to the stakeholders with some recommendations.

Regional universities will be a big question. Three were recommended and Capilano is lobbying to be a 4th Regional University. It was emphasized if you are not under the College and Institute Act there are no wage controls. The Minister has to think hard about the cost implications of creating three new Regional universities. Very few recommendations will be implemented until the new fiscal year of 2008/09. The Mini

to get into sciences at SFU. Now you can get in with a 50% average. Susan (Witter) added that SFU are in the process of revising entry standards because of the quality of the student.

.1 Recommendations from KPMG Report – Canadian Audit Committee: Ben reiterated the #1 risk to the College is the outcome of the Campus 2020 report which led into issues of risk management in the KPMG manual. He gave an overview of the sections in the manual which Board members have reviewed over the last two months.

The main issue is that the auditor is encouraging the College to look at a systematic way of dealing with risk management. Considerable discussion ensued and each Board member gave his/her perspective after having read the KPMG manual.

Karen Maynes indicated that the College is engaged in risk management at many levels but the process has not been formalized. Examples are, in the case of new programs, feasibility studies are done which are assessments of risks. Also the work being done on enrolment management is risk management. What we have not done is put it all together in a formalized process. Chris Worsley and Karen Maynes visited Camosun College who have put in place a formal risk management process.

Susan Witter added the timing of this is good as SMT sits down in June to start developing common goals for next year. In August, her goals come to the Board. It is a perfect role for the Board to give her direction that the Board expects a more formal integrated process to be included in SMT priorities next year. It was agreed that this puts the Board in the right place in the area of oversight. The Board can then concentrate on the risks it is responsible for, such as Board succession.

Diana thanked the Finance Committee for their work. Management and staff will identify the risks and they will advise the Board and report out on the status. The role of the Board is to monitor that information and raise a flag if they see issues.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND GENERAL INFORMATION: There were no questions.

11. **ISSUES ARISING FROM BOARD MEETING:** There were no issues arising from this Board meeting.
12. **DATE AND LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING:** The next Board meeting is scheduled for June 21, 2007 in the New Westminster Campus Boardroom.
13. **ADJOURNMENT:** The meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

CHAIR

PRESIDENT